

stake the "substantial interests of justice"; (d) if the proper resolution is beyond any doubt; (e) if the issue presents significant questions of general impact or great public concern, *In re Daikin Miami Overseas*, 868 F.2d 1201 (11th Cir. 1989), also *United States v. Ninety-Three (93) Firearms*, 330 F. 3d 414, 424 (5th Cir. 2003). All of the above exceptions apply in my case

D. The Seventh Circuit's Decision was not correct.

The Wrezic attorneys have stated that I cite no laws or code, or say why the Seventh Circuit was incorrect about the issues I raised. This Petition for a Writ of Certiorari is not the place to argue the merits on why the Seventh Circuit was incorrect. The purpose of my Question No. 5 was to identify the issues that I will argue before the Supreme Court on the merits and to request the Supreme Court exercise its supervisory power to vacate the decision of the Seventh Circuit also on the merit's grounds.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons and the reasons in my Petition, petitioner respectfully submits that this Petition for Writ of Certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Donna S. Ring

Donna S. Ring
5007 Camden Road
Madison, WI 53716
608-222-7103

Dated November 30, 2005